IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 13709 of 1996

Between:

T.D.Peter S/o.T.M.Devasia
NISA,CISF,Hakeempet Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Union of India Rep.by its Secretary,Ministry of Home Affairs
New Delhi.
2 The Director General, CISF 13,CGO Comples,Lodhi Road New Delhi.
3 DIG Personal CISF 13,CGO Comples,Lodhi Road New Delhi.
4 UPSC Rep.by its Secretary and Chairman for Deptal Promotion
Committee to the rank of Commandant, CISF Dholpur House, New Delhi.
5 The Director, NISA,CISF, Hakeempet Hyderabad.
6 Shri Tilak Raj Commandant, 6th Res.Bn.,CISF Arakkonam
North Arcot, Tamilnadu.
7 B.C.Joshi Commandant,ONGC(FR) P.O.Nazira District Sibsagar, Assam.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ or order or direction declaring the action of the 1st respondent rejecting the representation of the petitioner dt.19-7-1995 vide letter No.E.31016/15/95-Pets.I/229,
dt.14-6-1996 as arbitrary, illegal, and voilative of the Constitution of India, apart from being violative of the principles of natural justice and fair play and consequently direct the 1st respondent to consider the petitioner for promotion to the post of Deputy Commandants w.e.f.
17-1-1990, the date of promotion of the Juniors to the petitioner and accord all consequential benefits with effect from the said date of promotion and further consider the petitioner for the next promotion post ie., commandant in precedent to his juniors.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.S.ANAND

Counsel for the Respondents Nos.1 to 5: Mr.A.Rajasekhar Reddy

S.C.for Central Government

Counsel for Respondents No.6 and 7: (none appeared)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner has already been promoted to various stages and now he is working as Deputy Inspector General in C.I.S.F and therefore, he is not pressing the writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

(C.V.RAMULU, J)

Dated 18 February, 2005.

YCR

To

1 The Secretary, Union of India, Ministry of Home Affairs,
New Delhi.

2 The Director General, CISF 13,CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,

New Delhi.

3 The DIG Personal CISF 13,CGO Comples, Lodhi Road,

New Delhi.

4 The Secretary and Chairman for Deptal Promotion
Committee to the rank of Commandant, UPSC, CISF Dholpur

House, New Delhi.

5 The Director, NISA, CISF, Hakeempet Hyderabad.

6. 2 C.D. copies.